Using T2K Monte Carlo

Fanny Dufour, June 6th, 2006

Outline

- Efficiency tables when running on T2K MC
- Reproducing Maxim's plot (not quite there yet)
- Problems..

Efficiency tables on MC

Rec Enu	0~0.35	0.35~0.85	0.85~1.5	1.5~
fcfv 1ring e-like nodecay-e likelihood efficiency	2002.8 1719.0 31.0 11.8 2.1 17.5%	1658.1 1396.2 20.7 8.2 2.4 29.9%	491.3 241.6 7.9 3.0 1.7 56.4%	1066.3 480.0 27.2 12.8 4.7 36.3%
NC fcfv 1ring e-like nodecay-e likelihood efficiency	365.4 88.7 53.2 50.2 6.6 13.1%	228.8 66.0 57.0 52.9 15.2 28.6%	85.7 25.9 24.8 20.7 7.1 34.5%	83.3 40.9 39.4 32.5 15.8 48.5%
Nu-e CC fcfv 1ring e-like nodecay-e likelihood efficiency	11.2 5.6 5.5 4.7 3.9 82.9%	36.4 21.4 21.2 18.7 15.0 80.0%	33.5 16.7 16.6 14.4 11.2 78.0%	72.7 37.0 36.9 30.5 23.0 75.3%

Can be compared with Maxim's

\rightarrow See later

Compare ATM/T2K MC

Not as good on T2K MC

5 variables +efrac + xalong + cosopen			running on T2K			
Erec(GeV)	Signal	Bckg(vµ CC)	Bckg(NC)	Signal	Bckg(vµ CC)	Bckg(NC)
0~0.35	90.3%	16.4%	9.8%	82.9%	17.5%	13.1%
0.35~0.85	83.2%	31.6%	21.2%	80.0%	29.9%	28.6%
0.85~1.5	79.4%	12.0%	23.1%	78.0%	56.4%	34.5%
1.5~	76.0%	14.3%	34.5%	75.3%	36.3%	48.5%
					Only a few ev not too bad.	ents

I

Probable cause

Bad version of polfit for T2K \rightarrow Need to reapply ?



Maxim's table

	νμ CC mis-ID	NC	Beam ve
FC,FV,Evis>100 (MeV)	2081.7	801.37	182.9
Single ring	983 (47.2%)	214.7 (26.8%)	89 (48.7%)
E-like	39.0 (1.9%)	168.3 (21.0%)	86.7 (47.4%)
No decay e-	13.6 (0.65%)	149.9 (18.7%)	72.4 (39.6%)
0.35 <ev<0.85 (gev)<="" td=""><td>1.37(0.07%)</td><td>50.8 (6.3%)</td><td>20.7 (11.3%)</td></ev<0.85>	1.37(0.07%)	50.8 (6.3%)	20.7 (11.3%)
Cosθ _{vlepton} <0.9	1.025 (0.05%)	35.8 (4.5%)	17.5 (9.6%)
Polfit Mγγ < 100 MeV/c²	20. 4 7 (0.02%)	11.8 (1.5%)	13.9 (7.6%)
Δ logLikelihood < 80	0.35(0.017%)	9.8 (1.2%)	13.5 (7.4%)
Can be compared with me!	8.2	5 2.8	18.7

Bad \rightarrow Bug! Probably a normalization problem

Reproduce Maxim's plots:



Summary

I applied my likelihood analysis to the T2K MC

I still need to fix a few bugs before being able to present real results.

backups

table fore ve signal (*10)

			Signal (ch	looz)
	FC,FV,Evis>1	00 (MeV)		217.9
	Single ring		1843 (84.0	6%)
	E-like		182.2 (83	.6%)
	No decay e-		166.4 (76.	.2%)
	0.35 <ev<0.85 (gev)<="" td=""><td>127.2 (58)</td><td>.3%)</td></ev<0.85>		127.2 (58)	.3%)
	Cosθ _{vlenton} <0.9)	111.4 (51)	.1%)
	Polfit M $\gamma\gamma$ < 10	00 MeV/c²	94.1 (43.2	.%)
Nu-e CC Signal	∆logLikelihood	d < 80	91.9 (42.2	%)
fcfv	35.7	308	.0	191.8
1ring	18.7	177.	.9	101.6
e-like	18.7	176.	.6	101.0
nodecay-e	15.0	155.	.5	87.3
likelihood	12.7	127	.0	68.4
efficiency	84.7%	81.	7%	78.3%

102.2 48.3 48.0 40.1 30.8 77.0%